

Monument Destruction-Old Virginia Weeps

By Paul Gottfried on Jun 12, 2020

Last week Governor Ralph Northam announced his plan to remove the iconic statue of Robert E. Lee from Monument Avenue in Richmond, Virginia. This step will be the beginning of an ambitious leftist Taliban undertaking that calls for the removal of four other statues of Confederate heroes, including that of Jefferson Davis. The now endangered statues have long been beloved tourist attractions that have given Richmond its cultural and historic profile. The fashionable Virginia Pilot produced a giddily joyous editorial hailing the wrecking exercise as long overdue: "The move would be an extraordinary victory for civil rights activists, whose calls for the removal of that monument and others in this former capital of the Confederacy have been resisted for years." Supposedly Northam's decision was driven by the killing of George Floyd and the riots occasioned by that act: We now understand "that some serious healing has to take place." Supposedly tearing down historic statues will "heal" something, but I've no idea what that is. The Virginia Legislative Black Caucus issued a statement on June 4 that "these structures and monumental symbols have been extremely offensive to Black America and others." In the next sentence the statues are described as "so hurtful." Will the destruction of "these structures and monumental symbols" do anything to improve the life of a single black person? For example, how will it bring down the rate of violent crime among the black underclass in our inner cities?

Allow me to wonder whether the members of the Black Caucus in Virginia have spent their lives traumatized by the statues on Monument Avenue. Fifteen years ago, my wife and I visited Jefferson Davis's home, Beauvoir, in Biloxi Mississippi. The building and the adjacent parking lot were full of frolicking black schoolchildren, who were clearly having a good time. The display of Confederate Battle Flags on the property, didn't seem to bother these black visitors in the least. The denuding of Monument Avenue may however serve other needs for

Monument Destruction-Old Virginia Weeps

By Paul Gottfried on Jun 12, 2020

black politician and their constituents: permitting them to spit at least symbolically on a long dead white ruling class, whom they have been taught to hate, and on their descendants whom it may be hoped will feel dismayed. Hate does unite. Richmond's black mayor, Levar Stoney, has added his voice to this media-guided chorus of protest: "These monuments should be part of our dark past and not of our bright future. I personally believe they are offensive and need to be removed." Stoney also jubilantly announced: "Richmond is no longer the city of the Confederacy." Unless I'm mistaken, Richmond ceased to be that in April 1865.

There are of course other reminders of a "dark past," which grows ever darker, that the Richmond mayor and Governor Northam might want to tear down or deface; and their allies both black and white, are already working on that project. Progressives on American campuses across the country are planning to remove statues of Thomas Jefferson and other founding fathers who owned slaves. Such wrecking operations at least in the South are possible because Southern whites, including descendants of those who fought for the Confederacy, with a few vocal exceptions, couldn't care less about their "heritage." The protesters on Monument Avenue whom I see in pictures are all angry blacks and their white leftist allies. Unlike the Italian Americans who have defended Columbus's statues against the Cultural Radicals in NYC, Southerners for the most part are indifferent to their onetime shared heroes. Yes, I know there are Southerners who defend the traditional South. But they are only a small fraction of the Southern white population. Presumably, Jeff Sessions spoke for most "Southern conservatives" when he announced as attorney general in a speech at the Union League in Philadelphia that the defense of slavery was the overriding issue that caused the Civil War. Furthermore, Sessions' ancestors had been on the wrong side of that quarrel and fully deserved to be defeated.

Monument Destruction-Old Virginia Weeps

By Paul Gottfried on Jun 12, 2020

It might also be the case that what engages the Southern populist Right is no longer the War Between the States but more current issues like gun rights. Attempts by Northam and other leftist governors to go after gun owners have resulted in massive protests, as Pedro Gonzalez shows in a feature article for *Chronicles'* June issue. This does not mean that defending guns is an intrinsically worthier cause than keeping the leftist Taliban from devastating our monuments and defacing graves. It is rather that gun rights galvanize a much broader segment of the Southern Right than historical questions. That's just the way things are, even if the situation described doesn't please the intellectual Right. The question then becomes how to educate those of a conservative disposition to value the heritage that is now being erased, together with the works of art and long cherished monuments that our modern barbarians are trying to obliterate. Even more relevant: Can we do this before all the "offensive" monuments come down, and new ones go up celebrating transgendered members of BLM?